## Ralph's long-run quantum accounting

Ralph makes production-investment decisions to maximize long-run wealth (or equivalently, maximize expected log returns). By the law of large numbers, accounting rate of return converges to expected log return, E[r].

$$\ln\left(1 + \frac{income}{assets}\right) \longrightarrow E\left[r\right]$$

In a world of inherent uncertainty, quantum information describes the properties of a system as residing in superposition (a sort of simultaneous existence in all possible states) until measurement reveals a specific property. Superposition is conveyed via qubits (quantum bits) such as

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$$

where  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$ ,  $|0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $|1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $|\psi\rangle$  is called ket and  $\langle\psi|$  is bra, where bra is the conjugate-transpose of ket, a row vector. Hence,  $\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle$  bra-ket is the vector inner product.

Ralph's Kelly-Ross maximum entropy probability assignment algorithm applies to incomplete as well as complete market settings (at least, so long as a riskless asset exists). The algorithm follows.

1. For a state-act-outcome matrix A (in return form) with states by columns and assets by rows, solve  $Ay^p = v$  for  $y^p$  any consistent solution (not necessarily unique) where v is  $\iota$  a vector of ones (normalized asset prices).

- 2. Solve  $AN^T = 0$  for N (if any exists other than zero), the nullspace of A.
- 3. Let  $y = y^p + N^T k$ .
- 4. max  $h(y^p) = -(y^p)^T \ln y^p$  by choosing  $k = k^*$ .
- 5. Let  $y^k = (y^p \mid k = k^*)$  and  $f = y^k / (y^k \iota)$  where  $\iota$  is a vector of ones.
- 6. Let  $\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{y_1^k} & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{y_n^k} \end{bmatrix}$ . Find expected log returns given perfect

information.

$$E[r \mid PI] = f^T \ln\left(\Omega\iota\right)$$

7. Find expected log returns without information.

$$E[r] = f^T \ln\left(\Omega f\right) \tag{1}$$

8. Verify E[r | PI] = E[r] + h(f).

9. Create a  $t \times t$  quantum density operator  $\rho = \sum_i y_i^{zk} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| = Q\Lambda Q^T$ where  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  along its main diagonal and Q is a matrix of the corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors.

10. Create an accounting observable 
$$O_q = Q \begin{bmatrix} \ln(\alpha\lambda_1) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \ln(\alpha\lambda_t) \end{bmatrix} Q^T.$$

11. Solve  $E[r^q] \equiv Tr[\rho O_q] = E[r]$  for numeraire  $\alpha$  where Tr[] refers to the trace or sum of diagonal elements of a matrix.

12. Find expected log returns with perfect information for the quantum transformed production system.

$$E[r^{q} \mid PI] = Tr[\rho O_{p}]$$
  
where  $O_{p} = Q \begin{bmatrix} \ln \alpha & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \ln \alpha \end{bmatrix} Q^{T}.$ 

13. Compute quantum (von Neumann) entropy  $s(\rho) = -\lambda^T \ln \lambda$ .

14. Verify  $E[r^{q} | PI] = E[r^{q}] + s(\rho)$ .

Complete economy

Case 1:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} state & |0\rangle & |1\rangle \\ probability & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ invest_1 & 1 & 1 \\ invest_2 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \end{array}$$

## Incomplete economy

Case 2 — two nonorthogonal component ensemble:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} state & |0\rangle & |\psi_1\rangle \\ probability & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ invest_1 & 1 & 1 \\ invest_2 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \end{array}$$

where  $|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{3}{5}|0\rangle + \frac{4}{5}|1\rangle$  ( $|\psi_1\rangle$  indicates the probability of  $|0\rangle$  is only  $\frac{9}{25}$  and the probability of its complement  $|1\rangle$  is  $\frac{16}{25}$ ).

Case 3 — create riskless asset from two nonorthogonal component ensemble:

state	$ 0\rangle$	$ \psi_1\rangle$
probability	0.5	0.5
$invest_1$	1.05	0.95
$invest_2$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$

case 4 — three component ensemble:

state	$ 0\rangle$	$ \psi_1 angle$	$ \psi_2\rangle$
probability	0.3	0.5	0.2
$invest_1$	1	1	1
$invest_2$	1.45099	0.129403	2.5

where  $|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3 \end{bmatrix} (|\psi_2\rangle \text{ indicates the probability of } |0\rangle \text{ is only } \frac{1}{10} \text{ and}$  the probability of its complement  $|1\rangle$  is  $\frac{9}{10}$ .

Case 5 — create riskless asset from three component ensemble:

state	$ 0\rangle$	$ 1\rangle$	$ +\rangle$
probability	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
$invest_1$	1.071	1.02	0.969
$invest_2$	0.51	1.02	1.53

where  $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ . This suggests the first two states are mutually exclusive but the third state is completely indistinguishable from either of the first two states.

Part A

Suggested:

1. Determine the riskless return in each case? (hint: find the weights on the assets that produce a constant payoff in all states; the weights sum to one. If you are unable to find the riskless return utilize steps 1-5 of the Kelly Ross maximum entropy algorithm to find  $y^k$  and proceed.)

2. For cases 1 through 5, apply steps 9-14 of Ralph's Kelly-Ross maximum entropy algorithm to identify expected log returns with perfect information and without information for the classical frame (you might find steps 1-8 helpful) and the quantum frame.

3. Compare the classical frame with the quantum frame based on expected log returns without information as well as based on entropy.

Part B

Suppose Ralph has the opportunity to acquire information x for cases 1 through 3 as follows where  $|\psi_1\rangle = |1\rangle$  for case 1.

$\Pr\left(\left \psi_{i}\right\rangle, x_{j}\right)$	$ \psi_0\rangle =  0\rangle$	$ \psi_1\rangle$	$\Pr\left(x\right)$
$x_1$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	$\frac{1}{4}$
$x_2$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$
$\Pr\left(\ket{\psi_i}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	

Information x for case 4 is

$\Pr\left(\ket{\psi_i}, x_j\right)$	$ \psi_0\rangle =  0\rangle$	$ \psi_1\rangle$	$ \psi_2\rangle$	$\Pr\left(x\right)$
$x_1$	0.2	0.4	0	0.6
$x_2$	0.1	0.05	0.1	0.25
$x_3$	0	0.05	0.1	0.15
$\Pr\left(\ket{\psi_i}\right)$	0.3	0.5	0.2	

and information x for case 5 is

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr\left(|\psi_{i}\rangle, x_{j}\right) \quad |\psi_{0}\rangle = |0\rangle \quad |\psi_{1}\rangle = |1\rangle \quad |\psi_{2}\rangle = |+\rangle \quad \Pr\left(x\right) \\ &x_{1} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{3} \\ &x_{2} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} \\ &x_{3} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{3} \\ &\Pr\left(|\psi_{i}\rangle\right) & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Then, a conditional density operator is

$$\rho(x_j) = \sum_{i} \Pr(|\psi_i\rangle \mid x_j) |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i$$

$$= Q(x_j) \Lambda(x_j) Q(x_j)^T$$

and conditional (composite) classical/quantum entropy is

$$s(\rho(x)) = \sum_{j} \Pr(x_{j}) s(\rho(x_{j}))$$

where  $s(\rho(x_j)) = -\lambda (x_j)^T \ln \lambda (x_j)$ . Further, a conditional accounting observable is

$$O_q(x_j) = Q(x_j) \begin{bmatrix} \ln \left[\alpha \lambda_1(x_j)\right] & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & \ln \left[\alpha \lambda_t(x_j)\right] \end{bmatrix} Q(x_j)^T$$

Also,

$$E[r \mid x_j] = Tr[\rho(x_j) O_q(x_j)]$$

and

$$E\left[r \mid x\right] = \sum_{j} \Pr\left(x_{j}\right) E\left[r \mid x_{j}\right]$$

The expected gain (in log returns) from information x is

$$E\left[gain \mid x\right] \equiv E\left[r \mid x\right] - E\left[r\right]$$

$$= I(|\psi_i\rangle; x) \equiv s(\rho) - s(\rho(x))$$

 $I\left( \left| \psi_i \right\rangle ; x \right)$  is (composite) classical/quantum mutual information. Suggested:

- 1. Determine  $E\left[r \mid x\right]$  and  $E\left[gain \mid x\right]$  for cases 1 through 5.
- 2. Verify  $E[gain \mid x] = I(|\psi_i\rangle; x)$  for cases 1 through 5.