## Ralph's heterogeneous partnership ${ }^{1}$

## Part A:

Alice and Ralph are contemplating a partnership venture in which each dollar invested returns an uncertain amount $y$. They can borrow any amount $a$ and repay $h a=1.02 a$ such that the payoff is $x=a y-1.02 a$. Alice assigns $y$ a normal distribution with mean $m_{A}=50$ and variance $v=1,000$ while Ralph assigns $y$ a normal distribution with mean $m_{R}=60$ and variance $v=1,000$. Alice's preferences are represented by $U_{A}(x)=-\exp \left[-\frac{x}{\tau_{A}}\right]=-\exp [-0.008 x]$ and Ralph's preferences are represented by $U_{R}(x)=-\exp \left[-\frac{x}{\tau_{R}}\right]=-\exp [-0.002 x]$.

## Suggested:

1. Suppose Alice and Ralph each pursue the investment opportunity on their own. Determine the optimal amount of borrowing $a$ (in other words, the scale of the operation) and the corresponding certainty equivalent for each. (Hint: the certainty equivalent with exponential utility, linear payoffs with a normal distribution is $C E=E[x]-\frac{1}{2 \tau} \operatorname{Var}[x]$ where $\tau$ is risk tolerance or inverse of risk aversion $\frac{1}{\rho}$ ).

The following efficient risk sharing rules for the partnership are Pareto optimal or efficient.

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{A}= & x \frac{\tau_{A}}{T}+ \\
& \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{A}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{R}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{\left(y-m_{A}\right)^{2}}{v}+\frac{\left(y-m_{R}\right)^{2}}{v}+2 \log \frac{\alpha_{A}}{1-\alpha_{A}}\right] \\
= & x \frac{\tau_{A}}{T}+ \\
& \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{A}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{R}}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{\left(y-\left(m_{A}+m_{R}\right) / 2\right)\left(m_{A}-m_{R}\right)}{v}+\log \frac{\alpha_{A}}{1-\alpha_{A}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{R}= & x \frac{\tau_{R}}{T}+ \\
& \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{A}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{R}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{\left(y-m_{R}\right)^{2}}{v}+\frac{\left(y-m_{A}\right)^{2}}{v}+2 \log \frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}\right] \\
= & x \frac{\tau_{R}}{T}+ \\
& \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{A}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{R}}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{\left(y-\left(m_{R}+m_{A}\right) / 2\right)\left(m_{R}-m_{A}\right)}{v}+\log \frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]where $T=\tau_{A}+\tau_{R}, s_{A}+s_{R}=x$, and $\alpha_{A}\left(\alpha_{R}=1-\alpha_{A}\right)$ is the weight on Alice's (Ralph's) expected utility to form the partnership's preference measure.
$$
E_{0}\left[U_{0}(x)\right]=\alpha_{A} E_{A}\left[U_{A}\left(s_{A} x\right)\right]+\left(1-\alpha_{A}\right) E_{R}\left[U_{R}\left(s_{R} x\right)\right]
$$

Assigning the following parameters gives a consistent partnership normal probability distribution over $y$ with variance mean $m_{0}=v\left(\frac{\tau_{A} m_{A}}{T v_{A}}+\frac{\tau_{R} m_{R}}{T v_{R}}\right)$. Then, the partnership's certainty equivalent is

$$
C E_{0}=a m_{0}-1.02 a_{0}-\frac{1}{2 T} v a_{0} h^{2}
$$

and the partnership's optimal borrowing (scale of production) chooses $a_{0}$ to maximize $C E$.

$$
a_{0}^{*}=T \frac{m_{0}-1.02}{v}
$$

2. Find the partnership's optimal borrowing $a_{0}^{*}$.
3. If $\alpha_{A}=0.36823$, determine Alice's and Ralph's certainty equivalents associated with forming the partnership and operating at $a_{0}^{*}$. (Hint: the sharing rules $s_{A}=b_{A}+d_{A} y$ and $s_{R}=b_{R}+d_{R} y$ result in linear sharing arrangements where $b_{A}+b_{R}=-1.02 a_{0}$ and $d_{A}+d_{R}=a_{0}$.) How does this compare with Alice and Ralph pursuing the project on their own? Compare $\frac{d_{A}}{a_{0}}$ with $\frac{a_{A}}{a_{0}}$ and $\frac{d_{R}}{a_{0}}$ with $\frac{a_{R}}{a_{0}}$.
4. Suppose only Alice or Ralph or collectively as partners can pursue the project (without substantially impairing its attractiveness), who should own it Alice, Ralph, or the partnership? (Hint: you might explore Alice's and Ralph's certainty equivalent operating at $a_{0}^{*}$.)

## Part B:

Suppose beliefs and preferences remain as above except Ralph assigns variance of $y$ equal to $v_{R}=1,200$ (on the other hand, Alice continues to assign variance equal to $v_{A}=1,000$ ). This added heterogeneity of beliefs results in modified Pareto efficient sharing rules.

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{A}= & x \frac{\tau_{A}}{T}+ \\
& \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{A}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{R}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{\left(y-m_{A}\right)^{2}}{v_{A}}+\frac{\left(y-m_{R}\right)^{2}}{v_{R}}-\log \frac{v_{A}}{v_{R}}+2 \log \frac{\alpha_{A}}{1-\alpha_{A}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and
$s_{R}=x \frac{\tau_{R}}{T}+$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{A}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{R}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{\left(y-m_{R}\right)^{2}}{v_{R}}+\frac{\left(y-m_{A}\right)^{2}}{v_{A}}-\log \frac{v_{R}}{v_{A}}+2 \log \frac{1-\alpha_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}\right]
$$

As the sharing rules produce quadratic rather than linear payments in $y$, the partner's certainty equivalent is modified (on the other hand, operating individually the payoffs continue to be linear in $y$ ). Let a partner's payments be

$$
c_{0}^{j}+c_{1}^{j} y+c_{2}^{j} y^{2}, \quad j=A, R
$$

then the partner's certainty equivalent is
$C E_{j}=\tau_{j}\left\{\frac{v_{j}\left(4 c_{0} c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}\right)+2 \tau_{j}\left(c_{0}+c_{1} m_{j}+c_{2} m_{j}^{2}\right)}{2 \tau_{j}\left(2 c_{2} v_{j}+\tau_{j}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1+\frac{2 c_{2} v_{j}}{\tau_{j}}\right)\right\}$
for $j=A, R$
and the partnership's probability measure has variance

$$
v_{0}=\left(\frac{\tau_{A}}{T v_{A}}+\frac{\tau_{R}}{T v_{R}}\right)^{-1}
$$

and mean

$$
m_{0}=v_{0}\left(\frac{\tau_{A} m_{A}}{T v_{A}}+\frac{\tau_{R} m_{R}}{T v_{R}}\right)
$$

Expanding and simplifying the sharing rules gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{A}= & c_{0}^{A}+c_{1}^{A} y+c_{2}^{A} y^{2} \\
= & \frac{\tau_{A}}{2 T v_{A} v_{R}} \times \\
& {\left[\tau_{R}\left(m_{R}^{2} v_{A}-m_{A}^{2} v_{R}\right)-2 a_{0} h v_{A} v_{R}+\tau_{R} v_{A} v_{R}\left(2 \log \frac{\alpha_{A}}{\alpha_{R}}-\log \frac{v_{A}}{v_{R}}\right)\right] } \\
& +\tau_{A} \frac{\tau_{R}\left(m_{A} v_{R}-m_{R} v_{A}\right)+a_{0} v_{A} v}{T v_{A} v_{R}} y \\
& +\frac{\tau_{A} \tau_{R}\left(v_{A}-v_{R}\right)}{2 T v_{A} v_{R}} y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{R}= & c_{0}^{R}+c_{1}^{R} y+c_{2}^{R} y^{2} \\
= & \frac{\tau_{R}}{2 T v_{A} v_{R}} \times \\
& {\left[\tau_{A}\left(m_{A}^{2} v_{R}-m_{R}^{2} v_{A}\right)-2 a_{0} h v_{A} v_{R}+\tau_{A} v_{A} v_{R}\left(2 \log \frac{\alpha_{R}}{\alpha_{A}}-\log \frac{v_{R}}{v_{A}}\right)\right] } \\
& +\tau_{R} \frac{\tau_{A}\left(m_{R} v_{A}-m_{A} v_{R}\right)+a_{0} v_{A} v_{R}}{T v_{A} v_{R}} y \\
& +\frac{\tau_{A} \tau_{R}\left(v_{R}-v_{A}\right)}{2 T v_{A} v_{R}} y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{R}=1-\alpha_{A}$.

## Suggested:

1. Suppose Alice and Ralph each pursue the investment opportunity on their own. Determine the optimal amount of borrowing $a$ (in other words, the scale of the operation) and the corresponding certainty equivalent for each.
2. Find the partnership's optimal borrowing $a_{0}^{*}$.
3. If $\alpha_{A}=0.437421$, determine Alice's and Ralph's certainty equivalents associated with forming the partnership and operating at $a_{0}^{*}$.
4. Should Alice, Ralph, or the partnership own the project? Explain.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This example draws from Wilson, 1968, "The theory of syndicates," Econometrica 36 no. 1, 119-132.

