Production in Alice's firm combines physical assets (capital) with the manager's (Ralph) talent and effort. Net operating cash flows (excluding Ralph's compensation s_t) each period cf_t are comprised of a permanent component m_t from the physical assets, the manager's contribution a_t , and a random error e_t .

$$cf_{\rm t} = m_{\rm t} + a_{\rm t} + e_{\rm t}$$

The permanent component follows a Markov process subject to stochastic shocks ε_t .

 $m_{\rm t} = m_{\rm t-1} + \varepsilon_{\rm t}$


Alice and Ralph agree on the above stochastic relations. Other common knowledge conditions include the error terms (e_t and ε_t) are jointly normally distributed with mean zero, common variance $\sigma^2 = 1$ and are mutually stochastically independent. Prior beliefs are that the physical assets without the manager yield expected net cash flows of $m_0 = 1$.

Accruals can be written as a linear combination of past cash flows (after removing the manager's equilibrium act a_{t-k}^*). That is, $cf_{t-k} - a_{t-k}^* = m_{t-k} + e_{t-k}$ for $k = \{1, ..., t-1\}$. Efficient usage of the cash flow history yields

 $\hat{m}_{t} = accruals_{t} = F_{2t}/F_{2t+1} (cf_{t} - a_{t}^{*}) + F_{2t-1}/F_{2t+1} accruals_{t-1},$

where $F_n = F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}$, $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$ (the Fibonacci series), and the sequence is initialized by reference to common knowledge prior beliefs such that $accruals_0 = m_0$.

Suppose the interest rate is 10% per period and operating assets are acquired at the present value of their future (indefinite lived) expected cash flows. In addition, the firm's dividend policy involves paying out excess cash flows each period $cf_t - s_t$. Present value accounting is applied to the operating assets such that its value equals the present value of expected future cash flows at every report date and depreciation (appreciation) is the change in present value between two successive report dates ($dep_t = PV_{t-1} - PV_t$). Accounting for unearned income is determined by the recognition rules specified above for the estimator \hat{m}_t . Accounting for the first two periods is summarized via T accounts below (beginning with time 0 balances and reported balances in boxes).



Note: income for period 1 is $F_2/F_3cf_1 + (1 - F_2/F_3)a_1^* + F_1/F_3m_0 - s_1 - dep_1$ and income for period 2 is $F_4/F_5cf_2 + (1 - F_4/F_5)a_2^* + F_2/F_5(cf_1 - a_1^* + m_0) - s_2 - dep_2$.

Required:

1. Derive first period accruals via least squares.

(Hint: Stack the equations that capture the available history and recognize that for purposes of inferring expected cash flows the manager's equilibrium act a^* is known. Now employ ordinary least squares to estimate the mean of cash flows

$$\hat{m}_1 = (H_1^T H_1)^{-1} H_1^T z_1 = accruals_1$$
 where $H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $z_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -m_0\\cf_1 - a_1^* \end{bmatrix}$. Verify that

the weights on the variables are consistent with the weights reported in the problem.)

How does $\operatorname{Var}[acc_1] = F_{2t}/F_{2t+1} \sigma^2$ compare with $\operatorname{Var}[cf_1] = \sigma^2$?

2. Repeat question 1 for second period accruals.

(Hint: Employ the least squares estimator for period two

$$\hat{m}_2 = (H_2^{\mathrm{T}} H_2)^{-1} H_2^{\mathrm{T}} z_2.$$

The stacked equations now involve estimation of both m_1 (updated with second

period cash flows) and m_2 (the estimator of prime interest), where $H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

and $z_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -m_0 \\ cf_1 - a_1^* \\ 0 \\ cf_2 - a_2^* \end{bmatrix}$. Verify that the weights on the variables are consistent with

the weights reported in the problem.)

How does $\operatorname{Var}[acc_2] = F_{2t}/F_{2t+1} \sigma^2$ compare with $\operatorname{Var}[cf_2] = \sigma^2$?

3. Determine economic value *for the operating assets* at time 0, time 1, and time 2 and economic income *from operating assets* for periods 1 and 2 based on time 0 expectations.

(Hint: Since $E[m_t] = E[cf_t - a^*] = accruals_{t-1}$, then $PV_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{E[cf_{t+k} - a^*]}{(1+i)^k}$. The key is that

only expected cash flows (excluding compensation) are updated based on the cash flow realization.)

4. Complete the pro forma T accounts for the first two periods based on time 0 expectations and accrual accounting as described in the problem. Assume the manager's compensation for periods one and two is $s_1 = 0.58$ and $s_2 = 0.59$ and the manager's equilibrium input is $a_t^* = 1$ for all periods. Are accruals equal to expected economic income from operating assets (excluding the manager's input and compensation cost) for each period?

5. Suppose $cf_1 = 0$ and $a_t^* = 1$ for all t. Determine economic value at time 1 and time 2 and economic income for period 1 and period 2 for operating assets. Determine the T accounts at time 1 and time 2 using (*accruals*₁ | $cf_1 = 0$) and $E[accruals_2 | cf_1 = 0]$. Assume $s_1 = 0.25$, $s_2 = 0.59$, and second period cash flows equal expected second period cash flows conditional on first period result. Suppose Alice can costlessly dispose of the physical assets and dismiss Ralph. If $cf_1 = 0$, will Alice continue to produce? (You might want to update your T accounts from 4).

6. Ignoring scale differences, compare the *information content* of $\{V_{t-1}, cf_t\}$ with $\{accrual_{t-1}, cf_t\}$ for m_t **based on the history of cash flows**. What advantages, if any, do the accruals described in the problem offer over fair value (economic value and economic income) accounting? Could accrual accounting as described be applied even though conditions favorable to "fair value" accounting do not exist?