Nuclear Export Signal Masking Regulates HIV-1 Rev Trafficking and Viral RNA Nuclear Export
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ABSTRACT HIV-1’s Rev protein forms a homo-oligomeric adaptor complex linking viral RNAs to the cellular CRM1/Ran-GTP nuclear export machinery through the activity of Rev’s prototypical leucine-rich nuclear export signal (NES). In this study, we used a functional fluorescently tagged Rev fusion protein as a platform to study the effects of modulating Rev NES identity, number, position, or strength on Rev subcellular trafficking, viral RNA nuclear export, and infectious virion production. We found that Rev activity was remarkably tolerant of diverse NES sequences, including supraphysiological NES (SNES) peptides that otherwise arrest CRM1 transport complexes at nuclear pores. Rev’s ability to tolerate a SNES was both position and multimerization dependent, an observation consistent with a model wherein Rev self-association acts to transiently mask the NES peptide(s), thereby biasing Rev’s trafficking into the nucleus. Combined imaging and functional assays also indicated that NES masking underpins Rev’s well-known tendency to accumulate at the nucleolus, as well as Rev’s capacity to activate optimal levels of late viral gene expression. We propose that Rev multimerization and NES masking regulates Rev’s trafficking to and retention within the nucleus even prior to RNA binding.

IMPORTANCE HIV-1 infects more than 34 million people worldwide causing >1 million deaths per year. Infectious virion production is activated by the essential viral Rev protein that mediates nuclear export of intron-bearing late-stage viral mRNAs. Rev’s shuttling into and out of the nucleus is regulated by the antagonistic activities of both a peptide-encoded N-terminal nuclear localization signal and C-terminal nuclear export signal (NES). How Rev and related viral proteins balance strong import and export activities in order to achieve optimal levels of viral gene expression is incompletely understood. We provide evidence that multimerization provides a mechanism by which Rev transiently masks its NES peptide, thereby biasing its trafficking to and retention within the nucleus. Targeted pharmacological disruption of Rev-Rev interactions should perturb multiple Rev activities, both Rev-RNA binding and Rev’s trafficking to the nucleus in the first place.
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A core challenge to eukaryotic gene expression is ensuring strong but transient interactions between newly transcribed messenger RNAs (mRNAs) in the nucleus and export receptors at nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) (1–3). For spliced mRNAs, posttranscriptional regulatory factors program export receptor recruitment, the formation of export complexes, and subsequent transit through the hydrophobic core of the NPC (4, 5). mRNA dissociation from the NPC is also crucial and is regulated by RNA
binding proteins that couple nuclear egress to mRNA turnover, trafficking, and translation machineries in the cytoplasm (6, 7).

Tight regulation of mRNA nucleocytoplasmic transport is also crucial to the replication of many viruses, including retroviruses such as human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1). Retroviruses have necessarily evolved to overcome strong cellular blocks to the nuclear export of RNA species bearing introns (8–11). Full-length, intron-retaining retroviral RNAs are transcribed in the nucleus and, upon export to the cytoplasm, serve both as the viral mRNA translated to generate Gag and Gag-Pol structural proteins, as well as the genomic RNA substrate (gRNA) bound by Gag for encapsidation into assembling virions (12–14). To ensure full-length RNA nuclear export (and, in some instances, the export of additional partially spliced viral mRNAs), retroviruses employ cis-acting RNA elements that directly recruit RNA binding proteins that form functional ribonucleoprotein (RNP) transport complexes that facilitate interactions with the NPC (8, 15–17).

HIV-1’s Rev response element (RRE), the best-studied example of a cis-acting nuclear export element, hijacks the cellular chromosomal region maintenance 1 (CRM1, also known as exportin-1 or XPO1) nuclear export receptor (18–22) through coordinated interactions with the viral Rev protein. Rev is translated from fully spliced viral mRNAs, trafficked to the nucleus through interactions between its arginine-rich nuclear localization signal (NLS) and importin-β (23, 24), and multimerizes on the RRE as either monomers or dimers (25–31). Rev recruits CRM1 in complex with Ran-GTP to the RRE through the activity of a prototypical leucine-rich nuclear export signal (NES) found in Rev’s disordered C-terminal domain (27, 32–34). Rev, CRM1, and Ran-GTP complexes form cooperatively in the nucleus, traverse the nuclear pore, and then disassemble in the cytoplasm when Ran-GTP is hydrolyzed to Ran-GDP (32, 35–37). Rev and CRM1 are thought to then recycle to the nucleus to mediate subsequent rounds of viral RNA nuclear export.

Rev’s exploitation of CRM1 using an NES reflects viral mimicry of a conserved, constitutive mechanism for cellular protein nuclear export. Hundreds of NES peptides are proposed for both cellular and viral nuclear substrates (38–43). However, only a handful have been validated or carefully studied. Rev and the cellular protein kinase A inhibitor (PKI) were the first proteins found to encode discrete NES peptides (44, 45), discoveries that facilitated the identification of CRM1 as the receptor responsible for NES recognition (32, 33, 46, 47). CRM1 is a toroid-shaped protein consisting of 21 antiparallel alpha helices known as Huntington, elongation factor 3, protein phosphatase 2A, and TOR1 (HEAT) repeats (48–50). Functional studies and subsequent crystal structures of RanGTP-CRM1-NES complexes revealed that NESs are engaged by CRM1 through a RanGTP-dependent, hydrophobic pocket defined by surface-exposed CRM1 HEAT repeats 11 and 12 (34, 48, 49). Typical NES peptides are 10 to 15 amino acids in length and often conform to a consensus of regularly spaced hydrophobic residues, \( \Phi^1X_{2,3}\Phi^2X_{2,3}\Phi^3x\Phi^4 \), wherein \( \Phi \) is a hydrophobic residue (often leucine) and \( x \) represents any residue (34, 41, 44, 45, 51, 52).

Most NES peptides exhibit weak affinity for CRM1/Ran-GTP (~\( \mu \)M range), thus favoring efficient release in response to Ran-GTP hydrolysis in the cytoplasm (1, 34). However, some viruses such as the parvovirus minute virus of mice (MVM) or the alphavirus Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV) encode proteins bearing “supraphysiological” NES (SNES) peptides that bind CRM1 tightly and arrest cellular trafficking at the NPC with little to no reliance on Ran-GTP (34, 53–55). For MVM, the SNES is located in the capsid protein and promotes export of bulky, intact capsids from the nucleus to the cytoplasm just prior to cell lysis (55). In contrast, a SNES in VEEV’s capsid protein arrests CRM1 at the NPC, thought to abrogate nuclear export in order to halt cellular antiviral signaling pathways (53). Equivalent SNES peptides have not been identified for cellular proteins. However, high-affinity or high-avidity interactions with CRM1 are likely crucial to the efficient export of “bulky” nuclear cargos such as rRNA-protein complexes (1, 56, 57).
Other cellular and viral cargoes (e.g., RNP complexes formed by influenza’s NS2 protein) promote interactions with CRM1 by encoding two or more discrete NES peptides within the same protein (58–61). Alternatively, proteins such as Rev form oligomeric RNP complexes that present multiples of identical NES peptides to CRM1 or a CRM1 multimer (22, 62–67). Recent structure-function studies from us and others (68, 69), as well as elegant structural work from Frankel and coworkers (27, 70), strongly suggest that Rev multimerization (consisting of 6 to 14 monomers on the RRE) is needed to form a multi-NES complex capable of recruiting at least two molecules of CRM1.

How Rev balances strong nuclear localization and nuclear export signals in space and in time in order to optimize the timing and magnitude of late viral gene expression has only partially been characterized. In the present study, we provide evidence that Rev-Rev interactions serve to mask the NES and thereby promote Rev’s accumulation in the nucleus and sufficient access to viral RRE-bearing RNAs. We show that Rev is remarkably tolerant of diverse NES peptides or NES configurations, including SNES peptides predicted to bind to CRM1 even in the absence of Ran-GTP. Rev’s capacity to tolerate a SNES was both position and multimerization dependent, suggesting a novel mechanism wherein Rev oligomerization not only regulates export complex formation but also biases Rev’s trafficking to and retention in the nucleus.

RESULTS

Rev is robustly tolerant of changes of NES number, position, and identity. To study the role of NES number and context on HIV-1 mRNA trafficking dynamics and infectious virion production, we coexpressed wild-type or mutated versions of Rev-mCherry (Rev-mChe) fusion proteins (Fig. 1A) in trans with plasmids encoding full-length Rev-minus infectious HIV-1 yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-encoding reporter viruses (NL4-3/E-R-Rev-/YFP) and vesicular stomatitis virus G glycoprotein (VSV-G) for pseudotyping. As previously described (68), the expression of Rev-mChe or Rev-mChe-NES variants yielded similar levels of infectious virus production from human cells (Fig. 1B, compare lanes 3 and 6). Mutational inactivation of NES1 (RevM10-mChe) completely abrogated virus production (Fig. 1B, lane 5). This phenotype was fully rescued by appending a functional NES (either derived from Rev itself or PKI) to the C terminus of this protein (RevM10-mChe-NES) (Fig. 1B, compare lanes 5 and 7). Thus, the positional context of a functional NES (either in the native NES1 position, residues 73 to 83, or at the C-terminal NES2 position) has little to no bearing on Rev-mChe’s capacity to transactivate viral late gene expression, at least when provided in trans and at defined levels of Rev expression.

To address NES identity, we replaced Rev’s native NES (LQLPPLERLTL) with the well-characterized NES peptide (SNELALKLAGLDI) derived from PKI (44, 45). Despite conserved activity in mediating CRM1 binding, the Rev and PKI NES peptides differ in terms of CRM1 binding strength (71–73), are structurally distinct in the context of how they interface with CRM1’s NES binding pocket (34), and may be involved in recruiting alternative cellular factors in addition to CRM1 (e.g., the HIV cofactor eIF5A) (74). Despite these differences, replacement of the Rev NES with the PKI NES in the context of Rev-mChe, Rev-mChe-NES or RevM10-mChe-NES yielded wild-type levels of infectious virion production (Fig. 1B, compare lanes 4 and 3, compare lanes 8 and 6, and compare lanes 9 and 5). We also did not observe differences for confirmed NES peptides derived from Rev-equivalent proteins found in other retroviruses, including human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1), bovine immunodeficiency virus, or feline immunodeficiency virus (Fig. 1C and D). Thus, similar to differential NES quantity or positional context within the protein’s structure, Rev’s native NES identity had little to no impact on Rev’s activity in this assay.

Evidence for position-dependent Rev NES masking. Despite the above nominal effects on infectious virion production, we did observe notable differences to Rev-mChe steady-state subcellular localization when the NES was moved from the native position to the C terminus of the RevM10-mChe fusion protein (Fig. 2A and quantification in 2B)
or when replaced with the PKI NES (Fig. 3). As expected, wild-type Rev-mChe accumulated in the cytoplasm and colocalized with CRM1 in the nucleolus in the strong majority of cells (Fig. 2A, panels i to iii, and 2B) while the RevM10-mChe mutant was largely restricted to the nucleolus and did not recruit CRM1 (Fig. 2A, panels iv to vi, and Fig. 2B). Interestingly, the RevM10-mChe-NES variant was predominantly detected in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2A, panels vii to ix, and Fig. 2B) and, when observed in the nucleus,
Evidence for Rev exhibiting position-dependent NES masking. (A) Context-specific NES effects on Rev's subcellular localization. HeLa cells transfected to express E-R-Rev-Luc and the indicated Rev-mChe variants were fixed, permeabilized, and DAPI stained 24 h posttransfection. Endogenous CRM1 was detected by indirect immunofluorescence using anti-CRM1 antisera. Yellow arrows highlight nucleolar accumulation of Rev and/or CRM1. Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) RevM10-mChe-NES exhibits less accumulation at or near the nucleolus. Rev-mChe subcellular distribution was quantified in individual cells as primarily nuclear (Continued on next page)
was most frequently in association with small, bright punctae in the periphery of nucleolar structures (as defined by spherical organelles devoid of DAPI [4’,6’-diamidino-2-phenylindole stain]) (Fig. 2A, panels vii to ix).

We hypothesized that the observed differences to Rev’s steady-state distribution reflected position-dependent NES exposure (see Fig. 1A). To test this hypothesis, we exploited a cutting-edge optogenetic approach recently developed by Niopk et al. wherein a C-terminal masked NES was designed to be conditionally unmasked under the control of blue light (75). In this system, blue light (480 to 500 nm excitation) destabilizes an Avena sativa phototropin-1 LOV2 core domain (AsLOV2), thus unmasking a rationally designed NES peptide. NES exposure triggers nuclear export through the CRM1 pathway. To control the trafficking of visible Rev, we fused the LEXY domain to the C terminus of Rev-mChe (Rev-mChe-LEXY) and RevM10-mChe (RevM10-mChe-LEXY) (Fig. 2C). We confirmed that the addition of the LEXY domain had no effect on Rev activity relative to the unmodified controls (Fig. 2D, compare lanes 3 and 4) and that, in the absence of blue light, the LEXY domain fully suppressed its masked NES in the context of the RevM10-mChe fusion protein (Fig. 2D, compare lanes 5 and 6).

In single cells, expression of RevM10-mChe-LEXY revealed a high degree of nucleolar accumulation in the absence of blue light, as expected (Fig. 2E). However, we also observed a significant amount of cytoplasmic fluorescence with these constructs relative to RevM10-mChe (compare Fig. 2E to Fig. 2A, panel iv), albeit with no apparent gene transactivation activity as per Fig. 2D. Strikingly, exposure to blue light led to rapid evacuation of RevM10-mChe-LEXY from the nucleolus, with the bulk of the signal moving to the cytoplasm over a time course of ~10 min (Fig. 2E, “NES unmasked” panels). Subsequent cessation of blue light exposure led to rapid recovery of the nucleolar mCherry signal (Fig. 2E, “NES masked” panels). We attributed these light-dependent effects on Rev localization to AsLOV2-regulation of NES unmasking and remasking. Based on this observation combined with there being a greater tendency of wild-type Rev-mChe to accumulate at the nucleolus at steady-state relative to a condition where the functional NES was moved to the protein’s C terminus (RevM10-mChe-NES; Fig. 2A), we reasoned that Rev’s general tendency to accumulate in the nucleolus reflects a mechanism of position-dependent NES masking.

**Rev tolerates supraphysiological NES peptides in a position-dependent manner.** Considering the remarkable ability of Rev to tolerate diverse NES peptides and configurations, we next tested the effects of progressively strengthening Rev-CRM1 interactions using NES peptides recently characterized by Görlich and coworkers that exhibit substantially increased CRM1 affinity in vitro (depicted in Fig. 3A) (34). Using the PKI NES as a base model, these investigators determined changes to core NES residues that would enhance NES affinity for the CRM1 binding pocket, thus leading to the derivation of several stronger and even supraphysiological NES (SNES) peptides, defined by their capacity to bind to CRM1 even in the absence of Ran-GTP in vitro (34). Remarkably, we found each of these progressively stronger NES peptides in the NES1 position to be functional for infectious virus production in our trans-complementation assay (Fig. 3B). Even the strongest predicted SNES peptides had only modest, up to ~2-fold inhibitory effects on Rev function relative to the Rev-mChe or RevPKI-mChe controls (Fig. 3B, compare lanes 11 to 14 to lanes 3 and 6). Despite these moderate effects, we did detect noticeably altered CRM1 and Rev-mChe variant colocalization away from the nucleolus, with both proteins predominantly accumulating together at or near the nuclear membrane at steady state (Fig. 3C). Thus, similar to NES position

---

**FIG 2 Legend (Continued)**

(N), cytoplasmic (C), or equivalent in both compartments (N/C). Error bars represent the standard deviations from the mean for three independent transfections. (C) Depictions of RevM10-mChe-LEXY construct and blue light-regulated NES unmasking using the LEXY regulatory module (75). (D) Control experiment demonstrating that the activity of Rev-mChe-LEXY and RevM10-mChe-LEXY variants is equivalent to Rev-mChe constructs lacking LEXY. Viral infectivity was measured as for Fig. 1B. Error bars represent the standard deviations from the mean for three independent experiments. (E) Image panel shows selected frames from a representative time-lapse fluorescence microscopy experiment capturing mCherry fluorescence from RevM10-mChe-LEXY in HeLa cells. Red circles indicate exposure to 572-nm wavelength light (mCherry acquisition wavelength), and green circles indicate exposure to 488-nm wavelength light (LEXY activation wavelength). Black arrows indicate nucleolar Rev accumulation sites, and yellow arrows indicate direction of Rev transitions over time. Scale bars, 10 μm.
FIG 3 Rev tolerates supraphysiological NES domains in a position-dependent manner. (A) Panel of NES domains predicted to exhibit increasing CRM1 binding strength in the context of Rev-mChe. Wild-type PKI NES is labeled orange (same variant from Fig. 1). PKI NES-derived sequences with predicted increases in CRM1 affinity are labeled blue. PKI NES-derived sequences with predicted supraphysi- 
ological CRM1 binding affinity (i.e., bind to CRM1 even in the absence of Ran-GTP; SNESs) are labeled green. Amino acids shown red predicted to confer the increase of CRM1 affinity. (B) Even supraphysiological NESs had only modest effects (≤2-fold decreases) on Rev  
function in our trans-complementation infectivity assay described for Fig. 1B. Error bars represent the standard deviations from the mean for five independent experiments. (C) Nucleolar localization was decreased when Rev encoded an NES with increased CRM1 affinity. HeLa  
cells were transfected and prepared as for Fig. 2A. (D) Combining increases to NES strength with changes to NES position potently inhibits 
viral infectivity. Diagram of relevant Rev NES strength/context variants with our infectivity assay demonstrating >10-fold losses to infectious 
viro production. Error bars represent the standard deviations from the mean for five independent experiments. (E) Representative indirect 
immunofluorescence images showing Rev-mChe-SNES colocalizing with CRM1 and nucleoporins at the nuclear membrane. Yellow  
rectangles indicate regions of interest and orange arrows highlight colocalization. Scale bars, 10 μm.
(Fig. 2), stronger Rev-CRM1 interactions affect Rev steady-state subcellular distribution but have relatively little bearing on the capacity of Rev to promote infectious virus production.

Considering that Rev-mChe localization differed from RevM10-mChe-NES (Fig. 2A), we also tested whether SNES activity was position dependent by moving the SNES from the native position (NES1) to the C-terminal position (NES2). Strikingly, this modification almost completely abolished infectious virion production (Fig. 3D, compare lane 3 to lanes 7 and 8), correlating with and even more dramatic accumulation of colocalized Rev-mChe-SNES and CRM1 at or near the nuclear membrane based on visual analysis (Fig. 3E, panels i to v, SNES3 is shown). Interestingly, the Rev-mChe-SNES protein was not evenly distributed along the nuclear envelope, but enriched near NPCs, as shown by colocalization with a nucleoporin-specific marker (mab414) (Fig. 3E, panels vi to ix). This result was consistent with a prior study by Fornerod and coworkers using Rev-NES fusions as a technique to demonstrate SNES-dependent arrest of CRM1 at the NPC in association with the nucleoporin Nup358 (54). Both Rev-mChe-SNES and RevM10-mChe-SNES constructs yielded similar inhibition of infectious virus production, consistent with the C-terminal position of the dominantly acting SNES causing this block (Fig. 3D, compare lanes 7 and 8). This result revealed that Rev activity can tolerate a SNES peptide, but only when the SNES is in the native position (NES1, see Fig. 1A) located within Rev's disordered carboxy-terminal domain.

A C-terminal SNES blocks Rev's ability to export viral RNA to the cytoplasm. To address the mechanism by which a C-terminal SNES reduced infectious virus production, we used both live cell imaging using YFP-tethered Rev/RRE-dependent viral mRNAs (Fig. 4) and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (see Fig. 5) to visualize native, unspliced viral transcripts in the presence or absence of our relevant Rev NES variants. We hypothesized that the C-terminal SNES was arresting Rev/CRM1 complexes at the NPC, thereby inducing a roadblock to viral RNA (vRNA) nuclear export. Three-color live cell imaging of vRNA nuclear export was performed using MS2-YFP tagged surrogate, intron-retaining and thus Rev-dependent viral mRNAs encoding Gag-CFP (76) (Fig. 4A). Wild-type Rev-mChe expression led to a progressive transition of vRNAs from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in ~40% of transfected cells imaged at 24 h posttransfection, a finding consistent with mRNA nuclear export (Fig. 4B, “MS2-YFP” panels, and Fig. 4C). In these cells, Gag-CFP was synthesized coincident with mRNA nuclear export and ultimately formed surface punctae consistent with the onset of virus particle assembly (Fig. 4B, “Gag-CFP” panels, and Fig. 4C). In contrast, viral RNAs (as measured using the MS2-YFP proxy) remained sequestered in the nucleus when coexpressed either with the RevM10-mChe control that does not bind to CRM1 (Fig. 4D and quantification in Fig. 4E) or when coexpressed with Rev-mChe-SNES (Fig. 4F and quantification in Fig. 4G). These observations were consistent with a block to RNA nuclear export. Moreover, the time-resolved imaging revealed that the Rev-mChe-SNES first accumulated at the nuclear envelope prior to amassing at the nucleolus at later time points (Fig. 4F, compare the 3-h and 7-h time points), with nuclear envelope localization observed for ~91% of cells measured at 24 posttransfection (Fig. 4G).

To more clearly elucidate whether Rev-dependent vRNAs were arrested at or near the NPC for Rev bearing a C-terminal SNES, we also performed single-molecule RNA FISH for these three conditions using a dye-conjugated oligonucleotide probe set specific for the gag-pol reading frame of a full-length HIV-1 reporter virus (E-R-Rev-/Luc) (Fig. 5). In this experiment, the Rev variants were tagged with monomeric Apple fluorescent protein (mApple) rather than mCherry in order to avoid spectral overlap with the Quasar 670 dye probe set. When expressed with wild-type Rev-mApple, vRNA was detected in the cytoplasm in ~50% of cells at 24 h posttransfection, which is consistent with nuclear export (Fig. 5A, panels i to iv, and quantification in Fig. 5B). In contrast, vRNA was restricted to the nucleoplasm in almost 100% of cells in the presence of RevM10-mApple, as expected (Fig. 5C, panels v to viii, and quantification in Fig. 5D). For RevM10-mApple-SNES.3, although much of the Rev variant protein (and,
FIG 4 SNES-arrested Rev/CRM1 complexes block Rev’s ability to export vRNAs from the nucleus. (A) Diagram of subgenomic, intron-retaining HIV-1 gag-pol mRNA for live-cell imaging. This mRNA encodes 24 copies of MS2 coat protein binding loop (24XMSL) and a CFP-labeled Gag protein (Gag-CFP) for tracking Rev-dependent viral mRNA nuclear export and late viral gene expression (See Materials and Methods and reference 76 for additional information). (B to G) Live cell imaging of Rev-mChe variants, viral mRNA trafficking, and Gag-CFP expression in HeLa cells stably producing MS2-YFP (HeLa.MS2-YFP) over a 9-h interval. Image capture was initiated <1 h posttransfection and fixed at ~24 h posttransfection for endpoint analysis. (B) Wild-type Rev-mChe supports MS2-YFP translocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and Gag-CFP expression (Continued on next page)
by proxy, CRM1 as indicated in Fig. 3E) was clustered at the nuclear membrane, we observed that the bulk of the viral RNA was distributed throughout the nucleoplasm but excluded from the nucleolus (as defined using the DAPI stain or Rev-mApple accumulation) and rarely at the nuclear envelope, more similar to RevM10 (Fig. 5E, panels ix to xii, and quantification in Fig. 5F). Based on this observation and the fact that the first site of SNES-arrested Rev accumulation was at the nuclear envelope, revealed by live cell imaging (Fig. 4F), we reasoned that the C-terminal SNES traps Rev/CRM1 complexes at the NPC even prior to vRNA/RRE-binding.

FIG 5 A C-terminal SNES blocks Rev-dependent viral mRNA export. Direct visualization of unspliced HIV-1 mRNA using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). HeLa cells transfected to express the E-R-Rev-/Luc construct and the indicated Rev variant were processed as for Fig. 2A at 24 h posttransfection. FISH probes targeting the gag-pol reading frame of the E-R-Rev-/Luc construct were used to detect unspliced viral RNA (shown in magenta). Scale bars correspond to 10 μm. (A, C, and E) Representative images of Rev (red), mRNA (FISH, magenta), and nuclear DNA (DAPI, blue) for wild-type Rev-mApple (A), RevM10-mApple (C), and RevM10-mApple-SNES3 (E). (B, D, and F) RNA distribution was quantified in individual cells for RNA localization as primarily nuclear (N), cytoplasmic (C), or readily detectable in both compartments (N/C). A total of 100 cells were scored per condition.

FIG 4 Legend (Continued)
consistent with Rev-dependent viral mRNA nuclear export and translation. (C) Endpoint analysis of Rev, viral mRNA labeled by MS2-YFP proxy, and Gag-CFP. Rev and MS2-YFP distribution was quantified in individual cells for fluorescence signal as primarily nuclear (N), cytoplasmic (C), or readily detectable in both compartments (N/C). Gag-CFP was quantified based upon CFP expression level (no CFP, low CFP, or high CFP) and distribution of signal (diffuse or diffuse with punctate). Error bars represent the standard deviations from three independent transfections. A total of >300 cells were scored per condition for all transfection replicates combined. (D and E) RevM10-mChe is restricted to the nucleus (orange arrows) and does not activate viral mRNA export or Gag-CFP expression. (F and G) RevM10-mChe-SNES first accumulates at the nuclear envelope (purple arrows) and at later time points in the nucleolus (orange arrows) but does not trigger detectable viral mRNA export and supports only low levels of Gag-CFP expression. The red asterisk in panel G indicates that RevM10-mChe-SNES localization typically included signal at the nuclear envelope.
Rev's capacity to tolerate a SNES is multimerization dependent. We also tested whether SNES-mediated arrest of Rev trafficking was specific to Rev-dependent vRNA or, instead, reflected a global arrest of CRM1-mediated nuclear export. To this end, we transfected Rev-mChe, RevM10-mChe- NES, and RevM10-mChe-SNES into HeLa cells expressing a "shuttling" YFP (S-YFP) reporter protein modified to bear an N-terminal NLS peptide and a C-terminal NES (PKI) peptide (Fig. 6A and B). Disruption to either nuclear import or export was measured by net per cell changes to bulk S-YFP levels in the nucleus versus the cytoplasm using a computational cell-segmentation strategy (68, 76). The data are presented as a ratio of nuclear to cytoplasmic fluorescence (N/C ratio) normalized to the wild-type Rev-mChe condition (Fig. 6C, condition 2). RevM10-mChe-SNES expression led to increases in the relative nuclear abundance of S-YFP almost identical to when the CRM1 inhibitor leptomycin B (LMB) was added to a concentration of 5 nM (Fig. 6C, compare conditions 3 and 6). This result was indicated a global block to the CRM1 pathway. In contrast, Rev variants encoding wild-type or inactivated (M10) NES peptides, independent of NES position, exhibited N/C ratios similar to that of mChe alone and Rev-mChe controls (Fig. 6C, compare conditions 4 and 5 to condition 2). Interestingly, Rev variants bearing the SNES in the native position (RevSNES-mChe) were not innocuous but exhibited an intermediate phenotype (Fig. 6C, compare conditions 2 and 6 to condition 7). We perceived this result as consistent with Rev's capacity to at least moderately mask the SNES in the NES1 position, thereby maintaining relatively high levels of infectious virion production as shown in Fig. 3B.

We thought the most parsimonious explanations for position-dependent NES (or SNES) masking activity would be either Rev-Rev multimerization or Rev-RNA binding acting to physically cloak the NES. Rev's nuclear import and RNA binding are both regulated by Rev's N-terminal arginine-rich domain (ARD), with residues flanking the ARD regulating Rev multimerization (depicted in Fig. 6D). We thus compared the capacity of a well-characterized Rev ARD mutant defective in RNA binding (RevM5) (77) and another (RevSLT40) mutant that is multimerization deficient (78) to mask a SNES in our shuttle YFP assay. RevSNES/SLT40-mChe proteins exhibited an intermediate block to S-YFP nuclear export similar to the RevSNES-mChe variant, in contrast to the RevSNES/SLT40-mChe variant that blocked nuclear export to a more complete extent, similar to the Rev-mChe-SNES and LMB conditions (Fig. 6C, compare lanes 9 and 10 to lanes 6 and 7). Thus, Rev multimerization reduces the impact of a SNES in the NES1 position on global CRM1-dependent nuclear export, consistent with a protective or "masking" NES regulatory feature controlled through Rev-Rev interactions (see Fig. 6E for model).

NES position regulates optimal levels of infectious virion production. Despite the above observations, the relevance of Rev's NES masking function to natural infection was unclear considering that a SNES is certainly a nonphysiological scenario (HIV's native NES is actually thought to be relatively weak [34, 73]). In addition, we had also observed that moving the NES to what we predicted was an "unmasked" C-terminal position (i.e., RevM10-mChe-NES) affected Rev trafficking (Fig. 2) but was not at all deleterious to viral infectivity in our trans-complementation assay (Fig. 1B). That said, we speculated that levels of Rev expression in these experiments were likely to exceed levels of Rev observed during natural infection, thus prompting us to carry out a careful, head-to-head titration of both Rev-mChe and RevM10-mChe-NES plasmids using 2-fold dilutions and assessing the effects on infectious virus release (Fig. 7). As previously demonstrated (Fig. 1B), we observed no differences to infectious virion production for either Rev variant when expressed at relatively high levels (Fig. 7, compare conditions 5 through 8). In contrast, Rev-mChe activity was relatively robust at low levels of expression (even at ~100-fold dilution) compared to RevM10-mChe-NES (Fig. 7, compare lanes 8 to 12 to lane 5). Thus, while an identical NES peptide can be functional in either position (NES1 or NES2) of our Rev-mChe fusion protein, the peptides are clearly not equally active at low levels of Rev expression. Combined with our other observations, such a result is consistent with the notion that Rev is most active when able to mask its NES peptide.
DISCUSSION

In this study we provide evidence that the strength and position of Rev’s NES plays an important role in regulating Rev trafficking and viral infectivity. Using a functional Rev-mChe fusion protein as a modular platform, we found that Rev activity in human cells is remarkably tolerant of changes to Rev NES peptide sequence (i.e., native or...
C-terminal positions) and strength (Fig. 1 and 3). Significant recent progress has been made in elucidating unique structural features underpinning the formation of functional RRE/Rev/CRM1 transport complexes (25–27, 29, 30, 70, 79). In contrast, little is yet known regarding the spatiotemporal regulation of these complexes, i.e., how, where, and when they are formed, transited to and through the nuclear pore, and turned over in the cytoplasm in the context of single cells. Nawroth et al. recently provided evidence that Rev's multimerization on the RRE and recruitment of CRM1 occurs cotranscriptionally in the nucleoplasm and not at the nucleolus (80). Consistent with this observation, we recently showed using long-term (H11022 24 h) live cell imaging that Rev/RRE-dependent transcripts typically build up in the nucleoplasm prior to a punctuated, CRM1-dependent en masse nuclear export event (76). Heterologous NES peptides have long been known to support Rev activity either in the context of Rev trafficking or RRE-dependent gene expression (52, 71, 72, 81, 82). Accordingly, and based on the hypothesis that the timing of viral RNA nuclear export is crucial to rates of Gag/Gag-Pol synthesis and genome encapsidation, we anticipated that altering the strength or number of Rev-CRM1 interactions via NES modulation would negatively impact rates of infectious virion production. Thus, we found it striking that even drastic modulations (e.g., SNES peptides that bind very tightly to CRM1) yielded only relatively minor (~2-fold) impacts on virus output (Fig. 3B).

Regarding the mechanism underpinning Rev's NES tolerance, we propose that under native conditions, Rev is able to mask its NES peptide prior to nuclear entry, engagement of viral RNA, and formation of higher-order Rev/RRE complexes (working model in Fig. 8). We propose this model for three reasons. First, moving the NES from its native position to the C terminus of the Rev-mChe fusion protein caused it to accumulate preferentially in the cytoplasm rather than the nucleolus (Fig. 2A). This phenotype was recapitulated in striking fashion by transiently exposing a C-terminal NES on a RevM10 mutant protein using optogenetics-based control (Fig. 2B). Thus, Rev's tendency to accumulate at the nucleolus at steady state appears to inversely correlate with the degree of NES exposure when outside the native NES1 position. Second, the observation that Rev activity was largely tolerant of SNES peptides predicted to bind CRM1 very tightly (Fig. 3B) serves as additional evidence for Rev NES
masking. Moving the SNES to the C-terminal, “unmasked” region of the Rev-mChe fusion protein almost completely abolished infectious virion production (Fig. 3D), a block explained by the disruption of Rev’s capacity to export viral RNAs from the nucleus (Fig. 4 and 5). Third, a multimerization-defective mutant of Rev (RevSLT40), but not a multimerization-competent but RNA-binding defective mutant (RevM5), was unable to tolerate a SNES even in the native NES1 position, as measured in our S-YFP shuttling assay (Fig. 6). Thus, Rev self-association with or without RNA binding is likely the source of NES masking (refer to model in Fig. 8).

Rev is known to self-associate even in the absence of viral RNA (83), so that multimerization in the cytoplasm likely serves as an effective means of masking the NES and thereby biasing Rev’s trafficking to the nucleus and accumulation at the nucleolus. NES masking is likely to be a prevalent feature of cell biology (84, 85) and has been shown to regulate the nucleocytoplasmic transport of key transcription factors and signaling molecules (86, 87) through either protein intermolecular interactions (e.g., the oncogene BRCA2 interacting with regulatory protein DSS1) (88) or protein intramolecular interactions (e.g., regulated nuclear retention of the transcription factor NFAT1).
Conversely, it is worth noting that NLS peptides have also been found to be masked in both cellular and viral protein contexts (85, 91–93). To our knowledge, this study is the first to directly implicate NES masking as a regulatory feature of Rev trafficking and the HIV-1 life cycle and may be relevant to previous observations from Daelemans and coworkers wherein targeted disruption of Rev multimerization caused reduced steady-state levels of Rev in the nucleolus (94, 95). Interestingly, Gu et al. have also provided evidence for cell-type-specific Rev NLS masking regulated by a cellular cofactor MDFIC (also known as the human I-mfa domain-containing protein or HIC) (96).

Taken together, the balance of Rev NES and NLS masking within relevant cells is likely to provide HIV-1 with a level of nucleocytoplasmic transport control that extends beyond core cycling in accordance with Ran-GTP turnover. If important for nuclear accumulation, NES masking would, of course, have to be conditionally reversed in order to promote vRNA nuclear export. Whether Rev’s transient or long-term association with the nucleolus has any functional relevance remains undefined (22, 68, 97, 98). However, that Rev-mChe bearing a C-terminal NES localizes poorly to the nucleolus and is less active than wild-type Rev at low levels of expression (Fig. 7) is consistent with a hypothesis wherein the nucleolus plays a role as sink, favoring Rev sequestration over time. We also recently reported that Rev can undergo striking, en masse transitions from the nucleolus to the cytoplasm (68), a phenotype practically identical to the transitions observed in our optogenetics-based NES unmasking experiment (Fig. 2D). Thus, one or more transient cell signaling events in the nucleus may regulate Rev NES unmasking, thereby activating CRM1 binding and subsequent export activity. It is attractive to speculate that unmasking of Rev’s NES is regulated by phosphorylation, considering that Rev has long been known to be a phosphoprotein (99, 100) and that there are compelling examples (e.g., NFAT1 NES masking) of cellular proteins wherein differential phosphorylation toggles NES or NLS exposure (90, 101, 102).

In broader terms, we perceive Rev’s NES tolerance as further evidence of the remarkable plasticity of the multisubunit RRE/Rev/CRM1 nexus (25, 26, 67, 79). We previously showed that appending a second NES peptide to HIV-1 Rev or doubling the number of RRE sequences per viral RNA transcript was sufficient to overcome a profound block to HIV-1 in murine cells, attributable to a species-specific feature of the murine CRM1 ortholog (mCRM1) (68). This, combined with additional genetic studies from Hoffmann et al. (69) and recent structural work by Booth et al., suggests that multiple NES domains are critical for export of the Rev/RRE complex and needed to recruit at least two molecules of CRM1 (70). Recruitment of multiple CRM1 proteins to a multi-NES complex likely evolved as a robust, modular mechanism by which to gauge, buffer, and ensure efficient export of large, otherwise complex viral ribonucleoprotein assemblies. Indeed, Rev-like proteins and RRE-like response elements are conserved features of all viruses in the Lentiviridae (e.g., HIV-1 and HIV-2) and Deltaretroviridae (e.g., HTLV-1 and HTLV-2) families of retroviruses and are also found in a subset of other retroviruses (103–110). The relevant activities (multimerization, nuclear import, RNA binding, and nuclear export) are thought to be conserved among these proteins, although the sequences and, in some instances, domain organizations are divergent (63, 66, 111). This raises the question of whether both Rev multimerization and NES masking is conserved among these viruses and, if so, what features dictate NES exposure. Moreover, that Rev self-interaction is implicated in multiple stages of viral trafficking (both Rev nuclear import and Rev-RRE binding and export) reemphasizes the potential impact of targeting Rev multimerization as an antiviral strategy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines. Human HeLa cervical carcinoma and human embryonic kidney 239T cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% l-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. For all experiments, cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator. The derivation of clonal HeLa cells stably expressing the MS2 bacteriophage coat protein fused to yellow fluorescent protein (HeLa.MS2-YFP, Fig. 4) is described elsewhere (76).
Plasmids. The pNL4-3 E-Rev-YFP reporter plasmid was generated by replacing the luciferase gene in plasmid pNL4-3 E-Rev-Luc (68, 112, 113) with yfp cDNA using NotI and XhoI restriction sites. The construction of plasmids encoding functional Rev-mCherry (Rev-mChe) and the Rev-mChe-NES, Rev-m10-mChe, and RevM10-mChe-NES variants have been described elsewhere (68). All Rev-mChe or NES mutants were generated by replacing the wild-type or C-terminal Rev-mChe NES sequence (LQLPPLER LTL) with alternative NES peptide sequences using overlapping PCR and insertion into pcDNA3.1(+) (Invitrogen) using Nhel and Xhol cut sites. A subset of Rev-mChe variants were modified to encode the mApple fluorophore instead of mCherry to avoid spectral overlap with the Quasar 670 dye used for RNA FISH (described below). The LEXY domain was derived from plasmid plExATF-T2A-NLS-Lexa-KRAB-mCherry-LEXY (kindly provided by Barbara Di Ventura and Roland Eils; Addgene plasmid 72662) (75) and added to the C terminus of Rev- and RevM10-mChe constructs using BsrGI and Xhol cut sites. Plasmids encoding visible intron-retaining gag-pol mRNAs modified to produce Gag fused to cyan fluorescent protein (Gag-CFP) and bearing 24 copies of the MS2 bacteriophage stem-loop (24XMSL) are described elsewhere (76). The shuttle YFP (S-YFP) reporter plasmid was constructed by fusing yfp cDNA to sequence encoding a modified c-myc N-terminal nuclear localization signal (NLS) (MPAAKRKLVD) and sequence encoding a C-terminal protein kinase A inhibitor NES (NNSNLALKLGLDLI). BamHI and Xhol cut sites were used to insert the fused amplicon (NLS-YFP-NES) into pcDNA3.1(+).

Viral infectivity assays and immunoblot analysis. For viral infectivity assays, producer 293T cells were plated at ~40% confluence in six-well tissue culture treated dishes 24 h prior to DNA plasmid transfection. Cells were transfected using polyethylenimine (PEI; catalog no. 23966 Polysciences, Inc.) with 1,000 ng of E-Rev-/YFP, 200 ng of Rev variant, and 100 ng of VSV-G for pseudotyping, followed by culture medium exchange at 4 h posttransfection. Supernatants and producer cell lysates were harvested at 48 h posttransfection. For immunoblotting, producer cell monolayers were washed with phosphate-buffered saline, lysed with radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate) containing complete phosphate-buffered saline, lysed with radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate) containing complete phosphate-buffered saline. Producer cell lysates were prepared for immunoblot by sonicating, and transfer to nitrocellulose membranes. Immunoblot analyses were performed as previously described (68, 114) using mouse anti-Rev antisera (Abcam, ab85529, or Santa Cruz Biosciences, sc-69729) and rabbit anti-HSP90 antisera (Santa Cruz Biosciences, sc-7947) detected using anti-mouse or anti-rabbit secondary antisera conjugated to either horseradish peroxidase (Pierce) or either of two infrared fluorophores, IRDy680 or IRDy800 (LI-COR Biosciences).

For infectivity measures, fresh supernatants were filtered and used to infect HeLa cells plated 24 h prior at ~40% confluence in 12-well tissue culture-treated dishes. At 48 h postinfection, target cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), permeabilized using 0.2% Triton X-100, and stained with DAPI (4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) and Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 594 secondary antibodies (Invitrogen). For live cell imaging, cells were plated at ~40% confluence in eight-well 1.5H glass-bottom slides (Ibidi) prior to PEI transfection with 100 ng of RRE-sgRNA (pGag-CFP/24XMSL/RRE) plasmid (76) plus 20 to 50 ng of plasmids encoding each Rev-mChe variant. Image capture spanned 24 h starting at approximately 1 h posttransfection. Cells were maintained at 37°C, ~50% humidity, and 5% CO2 in a Pathology Devices Livecell stage-top incubator (Pathology Devices, Inc.). Single images were acquired every 60 min. Cells and transfections for LEXY imaging were carried out as for the live cell imaging described above, except with image capture spanning only ~22 min with images acquired every 30 s in three consecutive phases: (i) NES masked state (2 min), (ii) blue light induced unmasked NES state (10 min using 480 to 500/543 filter sets), and (iii) recovery of the NES masked state (10 min). All movies were postprocessed and analyzed using Fiji/NIH ImageJ2 (115, 116).

Single-molecule FISH. StellarIS FISH RNA probes (LGc Biosearch Technologies) were custom designed using the StellarIS probe designer in order to recognize NL4-3 gag-pol nucleotides 386 to 4614. A total of 48 individual probes (20 nucleotides in length) conjugated to Quasar 670 dye (SMF-1065-5) were pooled and used for RNA detection. Cells were plated, transfected, and fixed as for fixed-cell analyses ~24 h posttransfection. Subsequent FISH was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol adapted for eight-well 1.5H glass-bottom slides (Ibidi). We performed 70% ethanol permeabilization.
ization and probe hybridization steps with 18- to 24-h incubations. Imaging was done as described above.
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