

Drug Monograph Evaluation Form

Preceptor Feedback

Student's Name: <u>Kaeli Singer</u>	Topic: <u>Vivitol</u>
Preceptor's Name: <u>Jon McChymont</u>	Site: <u>River Vista</u>
Preceptor's Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>	Date: <u>6.21.21</u>

Criteria	Ratings					Pts
Required Sections The commonly required sections of a drug monograph for this particular site are included.	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Satisfactory	2 Poor	1 Weak	
Literature Review A comprehensive review and evaluation of the published literature regarding efficacy was conducted as appropriate.	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Satisfactory	2 Poor	1 Weak	
References References were included as appropriate.	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Satisfactory	2 Poor	1 Weak	
Recommendation The student provided his/her own recommendation for formulary action.	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Satisfactory	2 Poor	1 Weak	
Justification The student could justify his/her recommendation.	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Satisfactory	2 Poor	1 Weak	
Readability The student used appropriate grammar, punctuation, and spelling.	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Satisfactory	2 Poor	1 Weak	
The student must earn at least a 21/30 to consider this a satisfactory assignment for their portfolio.						Total: <u>28</u> /30.0

Preceptor's Additional Comments (including 2 strengths and 2 areas for improvement):

This monograph was well organized and very thorough. Kaeli's recommendation was based on sound logic.

There could have been more discussion about cost containment after discharge (i.e. PAPs, Coupon Cards). Also, the recommendation could have come with some caveats (such as when the trial program is no longer in effect, Vivitol would be removed from the formulary.)