

# Sensitivity



A. J. Conejo, R. Sioshansi 2016  
**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY**

---

What

Sensitivity

# Sensitivity

The subject of **sensitivity analysis** is concerned with estimating how data changes to a nonlinear optimization problem affect the optimal objective-function value.

The following Sensitivity Property explains how this sensitivity analysis is conducted with nonlinear problems.

Consider the problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h_1(x) = 0 \\ & h_2(x) = 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & h_m(x) = 0 \\ & g_1(x) \leq 0 \\ & g_2(x) \leq 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & g_r(x) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Sensitivity

Suppose  $x^*$  is a local minimum and  $\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*, \dots, \lambda_m^*, \mu_1^*, \mu_2^*, \dots, \mu_r^*$  are Lagrange multipliers associated with the equality and inequality constraints.

Consider the alternate equality- and inequality-constrained nonlinear optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & h_1(x) = c_1 \\ & h_2(x) = c_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & h_m(x) = c_m \\ & g_1(x) \leq d_1 \\ & g_2(x) \leq d_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & g_r(x) \leq d_r, \end{aligned}$$

## Sensitivity

and let  $\hat{x}$  be a local minimum of this problem.

# Sensitivity

So long as  $|c_1|, |c_2|, \dots, |c_m|, |d_1|, |d_2|, \dots, |d_r|$  are **sufficiently small**, we can estimate the objective-function value of the new problem as:

$$f(\hat{x}) \approx f(x^*) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* c_i - \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j^* d_j.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & h_1(x) = c_1 \\ & h_2(x) = c_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & h_m(x) = c_m \\ & g_1(x) \leq d_1 \\ & g_2(x) \leq d_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & g_r(x) \leq d_r \end{aligned}$$

# Sensitivity

Thus

$$\lambda_i^* = - \left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial c_i} \right]_{x=x^*}$$

$$\mu_i^* = - \left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial d_i} \right]_{x=x^*}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h_1(x) = c_1 \\ & h_2(x) = c_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & h_m(x) = c_m \\ & g_1(x) \leq d_1 \\ & g_2(x) \leq d_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & g_r(x) \leq d_r \end{aligned}$$

# Sensitivity: Example



# Sensitivity: Example

Consider the Packing-Box Problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{h,w,u} & h w u \\ \text{s.t.} & 2wh + 2uh + 6wu \leq 60 \\ & h \geq 0 \\ & w \geq 0 \\ & u \geq 0 \end{array}$$

# Sensitivity: Example

In standard form this problem is:

$$\min_{h,w,u} f(h, w, u) = -h w u$$

$$\text{s.t. } g_1(h, w, u) = 2wh + 2uh + 6wu - 60 \leq 0 \quad (\mu_1)$$

$$g_2(h, w, u) = -h \leq 0 \quad (\mu_2)$$

$$g_3(h, w, u) = -w \leq 0 \quad (\mu_3)$$

$$g_4(h, w, u) = -u \leq 0, \quad (\mu_4)$$

# Sensitivity: Example

where the Lagrange multiplier associated with each constraint is indicated in the parentheses to right of it.

# Sensitivity: Example

We know that:

$$(h, w, u, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \approx (4.24, 1.41, 1.41, 0.35, 0, 0, 0),$$

is the unique solution to the KKT conditions and is a local and global minimum of the problem.

We wish to know the effect of increasing the amount of cardboard available to 62 cm<sup>2</sup> and requiring the box to be at least 0.4 cm wide. In other words, we would like to estimate the optimal objective-function value of the following problem:

## Sensitivity: Example

$$\max_{h,w,d} h w u$$

$$\text{s.t. } 2wh + 2uh + 6wu \leq 62$$

$$h \geq 0$$

$$w \geq 0.4$$

$$u \geq 0.$$

# Sensitivity: Example

To apply the Sensitivity Property to answer this question, we must convert the constraints of this problem to have the same left-hand sides as the standard form problem.

This is because the Sensitivity Property only tells us how to estimate the effect of changes to the right-hand side of constraints.

# Sensitivity: Example

We can write the problem with additional cardboard and the minimum width requirement as:

$$\min_{h,w,u} f(h, w, u) = -h w u$$

$$\text{s.t. } g_1(h, w, u) = 2wh + 2uh + 6wu - 60 \leq 2$$

$$g_2(h, w, u) = -h \leq 0$$

$$g_3(h, w, u) = -w \leq -0.4$$

$$g_3(h, w, u) = -u \leq 0.$$

$$(h, w, u, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \approx (4.24, 1.41, 1.41, 0.35, 0, 0, 0)$$

# Sensitivity: Example

Applying the Sensitivity Property, we can estimate the new optimal objective-function value as:

$$f(\hat{x}) \approx \boxed{f(x^*)} - 2\mu_1^* - 0\mu_2^* + 0.4\mu_3^* - 0\mu_4^* = \boxed{-8.43} - 0.7 = -9.13.$$



# Sensitivity: Example

The Sensitivity Property shows the objective function decreasing when we increase the amount of available cardboard.

Recall, however, that the original problem is a maximization. We change the objective to a minimization by multiplying the objective through by  $-1$  in order to apply the KKT condition.

Thus, the volume of the box *increases* by approximately  $0.7 \text{ cm}^3$  when we add the cardboard and impose the minimum-width requirement.

# Sensitivity: Proof

# Sensitivity: Proof

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(P1)} \quad \min_x \quad f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad h(x) = 0 : \lambda \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow x^*, \lambda^* \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} x(c)]_{c=0} = x^* \\ \lambda(c)]_{c=0} = \lambda^* \end{array}$$
  
$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(P2)} \quad \min_x \quad f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad h(x) = c : \lambda \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow x(c), \lambda(c)$$

$\|c\|$  small enough around 0

$f(x)$  convex over the convex set  $h(x) = 0$

$f(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $h(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  differentiable.

Gradient of  $h(x(c))$ :

$$\nabla_c h(x(c)) \Big|_{c=0} = \nabla_x h(x^*) \nabla_c x(0) \quad (1)$$

Sensitivity:  
Proof

On the other hand:

$$h_1(x(c)) = c_1$$

$\vdots$

$$h_m(x(c)) = c_m$$

Then:  $\nabla_c h(x(c)) = I = \nabla_c h(x(c)) \Big|_{c=0}$

Considering (1), we get:

$$\nabla_x h(x^*) \nabla_c x(0) = I \quad (2)$$

KKT conditions for (P1):

$$(\nabla_x f(x^*))^\top + \lambda^{*\top} \nabla_x h(x^*) = 0$$

Sensitivity:  
Proof

Multiplying by  $\nabla_c x(0)$ :

$$(\nabla_x f(x^*))^\top \nabla_c x(0) + \lambda^{*\top} \nabla_x h(x^*) \nabla_c x(0) = 0$$

Considering (2) ( $\nabla_x h(x^*) \nabla_c x(0) = I$ ):

$$(\nabla_x f(x^*))^\top \nabla_c x(0) + \lambda^{*\top} I = 0$$

Thus:

$$(\nabla_x f(x^*))^\top \nabla_c x(0) = -\lambda^{*\top} \quad (3)$$

# Sensitivity: Proof

Gradient of  $f(x(c))$ :

$$\nabla_c f(x(c)) \Big|_{c=0} = (\nabla_x f(x^*))^\top \nabla_c x(0)$$

Considering (3) ( $(\nabla_x f(x^*))^\top \nabla_c x(0) = -\lambda^{*\top}$ ):

$$\nabla_c f(x(c)) \Big|_{c=0} = -\lambda^*$$

And:

$$\frac{\partial f(x(c))}{\partial c_i} \Big|_{c=0} = \frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial c_i} = -\lambda_i^*$$

This is it!