



Advertisement

The future of energy is complex. Can we expect simple solutions?

Be part of the discussion



ExxonMobil

Taking on the world's toughest energy challenges.

washingtonpost.com > Business > U.S. Economy > Ezra Klein



Ezra Klein

Economic and Domestic Policy, and Lots of It

About Ezra Klein | On Twitter | Bloggingheads | XML RSS Feed | E-Mail Ezra | Articles

WONKBOOK

Get Wonkbook delivered to your inbox or mobile device every morning. It's like reading it on the blog, only much more convenient. [Subscribe now.](#)

MORE BUSINESS NEWS



Your essential source for the latest news on the intersection of Wall Street and Washington.

THINK TANK

- 1 Ben Bernanke, in testimony before the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission, gives [his version](#) of the financial crisis.
- 2 Isabell Sawhill and Greg Anrig make the [liberal case](#) for entitlement reform.
- 3 Dowell Myers and Joel Pitkin [look at](#) the pace of assimilation among different groups of immigrants.
- 4 Steven Hill [lays out a plan](#) to double Social Security benefits in a fiscally responsible manner.
- 5 Robert Atkinson [estimates](#) the benefits of expanding the R&D tax credit.

SEARCH THIS BLOG

Search input field with 'Go' button

RECENT POSTS

- Early thoughts on Joel Klein, Cathie Black and education reform in

The latest on servicing and modifications

By Michael Konczal

[Kevin Drum wants to know](#) what the latest empirical work tells us about the servicer industry. Let's do this: Hot off the presses (October 2010), here's Sumit Agarwal, Gene Amromin, Itzhak Ben-David, Souphala Chomsisengphet and Douglas Evanoff on "[Market-Based Loss Mitigation Practices for Troubled Mortgages Following the Financial Crisis](#)." The abstract, my bold:

Using a unique dataset that precisely identifies loss mitigation actions, we study these methods -- liquidation, repayment plans, loan modification, and refinancing -- and analyze their effectiveness. We show that the majority of delinquent mortgages do not enter any loss mitigation program or become a part of foreclosure proceedings within six months of becoming distressed. We also find that it takes longer to complete foreclosures over time, potentially due to congestion. We further document large heterogeneity in practices across servicers, which is not accounted for by differences in borrower population.

Consistent with the idea that securitization induces agency conflicts, we confirm that the likelihood of modification of securitized loans is up to 70% lower relative to portfolio loans. Finally, we find evidence that affordability (as opposed to strategic default due to negative equity) is the prime reason for redefault following modifications.

To continue:

We find that within six months after becoming seriously delinquent, about 31% of the troubled loans that enter our sample in 2008 are in liquidation (either voluntary or through foreclosure), 2.4% enter a repayment plan, 2.2% get refinanced, and 10.4% are modified. The rest (about 54%) have no recorded action. The staggering amount of delinquent loans that see no action from lenders/investors is consistent both with the idea of an industry overwhelmed by the wave of problem mortgages and with the difficulty in overcoming the severe asymmetries of information that inhibit active loss mitigation.

So both: They appear to be ineffectual in general but also doing a better job for themselves than for the mortgages they service. Bad information and conflicts of interest.

Speaking of conflicts: "In terms of magnitudes of the estimated coefficients, second lien loans are the least likely to be modified, controlling for all other loan characteristics. This is hardly surprising, as

Advertisement

Lilly iPad

NEWS

Lilly + United Way = Stronger Communities
Last week, Lilly presented a check in the amount of \$11.6 million to the United Way....
Posted on November 9, 2010

State Power in Health Reform Implementation
Health care reform (HCR) is receiving a lot of attention at the national level. But...
Posted on November 8, 2010

LinkPad: What's Next... The Future of Health Care Reform
The ballots are counted (mostly) and policymakers are setting their agendas, many...
Posted on November 5, 2010

IPAB in Spotlight After Elections
As the election buzz dwindles, the rhetoric on health care reform is heating up....
Posted on November 4, 2010

Innovation Has Risks, But Also Rewards
Innovation is a big topic around here (anyone who follows our blog knows that already)....
Posted on November 3, 2010

Join the conversation. *Lilly*

Sponsored Links

Do YOU Qualify?

New Gov regulations allow US residents to remove half of their debt.
www.ctwnews.com

PMP Certification Prep

100% Online PMP Exam Prep course from Villanova University.

- New York York
- Noah Millman asks about QE2
- The latest on servicing and modifications
- Mortgage-servicing conflicts baked right into the cake
- Why repealing the health-care law will be difficult in two charts

Entries By Category

- 2010 Midterms
- 2012 Presidential
- Afghanistan
- Articles
- Barack Obama
- Blue sky series
- Books
- Budget
- California
- Cartoons
- Charts and Graphs
- China
- Climate Change
- Congress
- Crime and punishment
- Democrats
- Economic Policy
- Economics
- Economy
- Education
- Energy
- Europe
- Explaining financial regulation
- Explaining health-care reform
- Federal Reserve
- Financial Crisis
- Financial Regulation
- Food
- Foreign Policy
- Government
- Guest Graph
- Health
- Health Coverage
- Health Economics
- Health Reform
- Health Reform For Beginners
- Health of Nations
- History
- Housing Crisis
- Immigration
- Inequality
- Infrastructure
- Intellectual Property
- International Health Care
- Interviews
- Israel/Palestine
- Jobs forum
- Journalism
- Legal
- Lunch Break
- Markets
- Medicare

junior liens likely suffer most severe losses in modifications." The difference in the modification rate when a second lien is involved is on the order of 11-13%, significantly higher. Second liens are largely held by the four largest banks, who also do a disproportionate amount of the servicing (for a longer story about the conflict in junior and second liens have with first mortgages, valuation and the way servicing is carried out, see [here](#) for starters).

In case you are interested, here are the rates of re-default by modification type:

Panel G: Rates of Redefault within 6 Months, by Modification Type

Modification type	Quarter			
	2008Q1	2008Q2	2008Q3	2008Q4
Interest Rate Frozen	8.1%	24.5%	31.8%	31.2%
Interest Rate Reduction	24.9%	62.7%	54.0%	53.2%
Term Extended	6.2%	11.2%	23.4%	19.8%
Principal Deferred	0.3%	1.4%	6.5%	2.1%
Principal Writedown	0.0%	0.1%	1.3%	0.7%
Capitalization	15.1%	32.9%	46.5%	46.9%
Combination	30.1%	63.1%	65.8%	59.7%

Notice how low it is for those with principal write-downs.

Paul Willen of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston [points out](#) that when we look at this we should focus more on the fact that there are so few modifications being carried out, so the conflict of interests -- that mortgages that are owned by the servicers get favorable treatment relative to other investors -- aren't huge in the aggregate. That's true, and points to both answers being correct. So a lot depends on your framing. While at the margins there is clear evidence that servicers are creating modifications for mortgages in their own portfolios but not those that they service for others, there aren't that many modifications going on in general.

Which goes to a broken system. As Georgetown University law professor Adam Levitin notes,

Mortgage servicing is a failed business model. Their solution? Attempt to automate default management by shuffling all defaulted loans off to foreclosure and to use robo-signing and other corner cutting techniques to lower costs while charging junk fees on defaulted loans to increase income.

The critical thing to realize about servicers is that they are not subject to any oversight. Investors lack the information to evaluate servicer decisions, while securitization trustees are paid far too little to want to stick out their necks and supervise servicers (with whom they often have cozy business relationships). A securitization trustee is not a general purpose fiduciary; it is a corporate trustee with very narrow duties defined by contract, and entitled to rely on information supplied by the servicer. So we've got a case of feral financial institutions, a sort of servicers run wild, with both homeowners and MBS investors bearing the costs of unnecessary foreclosures, all because servicers misjudged the housing market and didn't charge enough to cover the costs of properly performing their contractual duties.

Mike Konczal is a fellow at the Roosevelt Institute. He blogs about finance, economics and other topics at [Rortybomb](#) and [New Deal 2.0](#), and you can follow him on [Twitter](#).

By Michael Konczal | November 9, 2010; 1:24 PM ET

[Recommend](#) Be the first of your friends to recommend this.

Save & Share:        

Previous: [Mortgage-servicing conflicts baked right into the cake](#)
Next: [Noah Millman asks about QE2](#)

VillanovaU.com/PMP

[Buy a link here](#)

Network News

[MY PROFILE](#) 

Friends' Activity

[Login](#) You need to be logged into Facebook to see your friends' activity

-  **Minister admits reluctant Michelle Obama handshake**
2,343 people shared this.
-  **Richard Cohen - Boehner's health delusion**
3,068 people shared this.
-  **Pentagon can't explain 'missile' off California**
758 people shared this.
-  **From 'Second City' to '30 Rock,' Tina Fey has rocketed her way to becoming the Twain Prize's younges**
2,688 people shared this.
-  **Incoming congressman Allen West taps outspoken Fla. radio host as chief of staff**
462 people shared this.
-  **Anne Applebaum - In Alaska, a preview of the GOP's future**
934 people shared this.

Facebook social plugin

[View More Activity](#)



TRY EMAIL MARKETING FREE FOR 60 DAYS!

Post Blogs

- 44
- All We Can Eat
- The Daily Dose
- The Fix
- Economy Watch
- The Hearing
- Solutions
- White House Watch

Blog Roll

- The American Scene
- Atrios
- Baseline Scenario
- Brad DeLong
- Economix
- Felix Salmon
- Justin Fox
- Kevin Drum
- Marginal Revolution
- Mark Thoma
- Matthew Yglesias
- Megan McArdle
- Paul Krugman
- Real Time Economics
- Rortybomb
- Ryan Avent
- Spencer Ackerman
- The Stash
- Steve Benen
- Tapped
- Taxvox
- Tom Laskawy
- The Treatment
- The Vine

Advertisement



PROGRESS IS EVERYONE'S BUSINESS

[Rollover to Learn More](#)

Goldman Sachs

- Money in politics
- Movies
- Obama administration
- Political Science
- Polls
- Poverty
- President
- Primary Documents
- Republicans
- Senate
- Social Security
- Solutions
- Stimulus
- Tab Dump
- Taxes
- Tech
- The Politics of Nations
- Think Tank
- Unions
- Urban Policy
- Video
- Wonkbook
- states
- Full Category Archive

Entries By Date

- Full Weekly Archive

SUBSCRIBE

Select ...

MORE ON HEALTH CARE

Daily Dose

Tracking the Debate Over Health Care Reform

- A closer look at Lieberman's argument against expanding Medicare
- Democrats move toward dropping medicare expansion
- UnitedHealth urges employees to participate in lobbyist seminar

MORE ON THE ECONOMY

Political Economy

Politics, politicians, big business and the economy

- Economic agenda: Wednesday, Nov. 10, 2010
- J.P. Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Citigroup, Wells Fargo face myriad of foreclosure lawsuits
- PNC: New foreclosures resuming with 'enhanced procedures'

Sponsored Links

Don't Pay Your Bills...

Until You've Read This. Mom Discovers Secret to Get Out Of Debt FAST!
www.ctwnews.com

Reverse Mortgage Loans

This is a great time to get low interest rates on your loan!
JustClickLocal.com

My 2010 Credit Scores

Click Here to See Your 2010 Credit Scores! All 3 Scores are FREE!
freescore.com

Buy a link here

Comments

As I stated in a previous post the problem is easy to boil down into a couple of statements (at least for underwater borrowers):

1. For most borrowers facing foreclosure that are underwater the only thing that is going to ultimately stop the foreclosure is principal reduction, all other solutions simply allow the homeowners to stay in their house a little longer while the servicers make big fees.
2. Banks are never going to reduce principal balances, not because they don't think it might make sense on an individual basis, but because setting the precedent will induce alot of borrowers who otherwise would still make their payments to demand principal reductions as well and render the banks insolvent.

I don't see an easy way out of this short of the coercing the banks into insolvency and taking them over. The only other solution that seems more palatable right now is for Fannie and Freddie to provide cost free refinancing (regardless of how underwater you are) without paperwork or appraisal of all existing loans at a 4% 30 year fixed (with no principal reduction). This would obviously require another big bailout package but at least we could separate the people who could potentially make their payments with good loan terms from those who are going to likely foreclose under any circumstance.

Posted by: ArizonaGlen | November 9, 2010 2:03 PM | [Report abuse](#)

As I stated in a previous post the problem is easy to boil down into a couple of statements (at least for underwater borrowers):

1. For most borrowers facing foreclosure that are underwater the only thing that is going to ultimately stop the foreclosure is principal reduction, all other solutions simply allow the homeowners to stay in their house a little longer while the servicers make big fees.
2. Banks are never going to reduce principal balances, not because they don't think it might make sense on an individual basis, but because setting the precedent will induce alot of borrowers who otherwise would still make their payments to demand principal reductions as well and render the banks insolvent.

I don't see an easy way out of this short of the coercing the banks into insolvency and taking them over. The only other solution that seems more palatable right now is for Fannie and Freddie to provide cost free refinancing (regardless of how underwater you are) without paperwork or appraisal of all existing loans at a 4% 30 year fixed (with no principal reduction). This would obviously require another big bailout package but at least we could separate the people who could potentially make their payments with good loan terms from those who are going to likely foreclose under any circumstance.

Posted by: ArizonaGlen | November 9, 2010 2:04 PM | [Report abuse](#)

Ezra:

JP Morgan has already estimated the total cost to the industry as a whole from the foreclosure problem. Low end 60 billion, high end 110 billion. There will most likely be a tobacco litigation type settlement signed off on by all parties, time frame one year minimum two years outside.

But who is this Jamie Dimon fellow anyway. It's not like he has ever taught in a university, written a blog, or won a Nobel Prize!

Let's get to the heart of the matter. What does Krugman have to say about this?

Posted by: 54465446 | November 9, 2010 2:59 PM | [Report abuse](#)

So this is completely misguided. If you read the paper, you will discover that the 70% figure applies to the GSEs (Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac). The GSEs, for all intents and purposes, own exclusive rights to the mortgages that they securitize because they own the credit risk of these mortgages, which means that when a loan becomes delinquent, they have FULL DISCRETION over what happens to it. In other words they can tell the servicers that they hire to modify every single delinquent loan if they think it is profitable or in their best interest! This is actually evidence AGAINST the idea that frictions in the securitization process inhibit

"efficient" loan modifications. If the GSEs have full discretion and modify significantly fewer loans than private institutions that securitize mortgages and portfolio lenders, then that should tell us that the problem isn't with the private securitization market!

The relevant difference, which is between privately securitized mortgages and portfolio mortgages, is about 30% (not 70%). This is still statistically significant but of a much lower magnitude than the number you are quoting...

Posted by: nardos | November 9, 2010 5:01 PM | [Report abuse](#)

How do you find good refinance rates? I like "123 Mortgage Refinance". They gave me the option of selecting various rates with different problems. I choose the lowest rate of 3.29% BTW Remember to call and verify the loan rate. Search online to find them.

Posted by: alexpablo | November 10, 2010 2:57 AM | [Report abuse](#)

Post a Comment

We encourage users to analyze, comment on and even challenge washingtonpost.com's articles, blogs, reviews and multimedia features.

User reviews and comments that include profanity or personal attacks or other inappropriate comments or material will be removed from the site. Additionally, entries that are unsigned or contain "signatures" by someone other than the actual author will be removed. Finally, we will take steps to block users who violate any of our posting standards, terms of use or privacy policies or any other policies governing this site. Please review the [full rules](#) governing commentaries and discussions.

You must be signed in to washingtonpost.com to comment. Please [sign in](#).

[RSS Feed](#)

[Subscribe to The Post](#)

© 2010 The Washington Post Company

Ways you can get us

- Mobile
- Newsletter & alerts
- RSS
- Facebook
- Twitter

- Podcasts
- Widgets
- Post Store
- Photo Store
- Washington Post Live

Website

- About us
- Work for us
- Site map
- Topics Index
- Search terms
- Make us your homepage
- Corrections

Newspaper

- About The Post
- Subscribe
- Home delivery service
- e-Replica
- PostPoints

Company

- Post Company web sites
- Advertise
- In the newspaper
- On the web site
- Feedback
- Send us your thoughts

Partners