

# APPLICATIONS OF LARGE CARDINALS TO BOREL FUNCTIONS

by

Harvey M. Friedman  
Department of Mathematics  
Ohio State University  
friedman@math.ohio-state.edu  
www.math.ohio-state.edu/~friedman  
November 25, 1997

NOTE: This is work in progress. No proofs are presented.  
Results are still being checked.

Let  $R$  be the set of all real numbers, and let  $CS(R)$  be the space of all nonempty countable subsets of  $R$ .

The space  $CS(R)$  has a unique "Borel structure" in the following sense. Note that there is a natural mapping from  $R^\omega$  onto  $CS(R)$ ; namely, taking ranges. We can combine this with any Borel bijection from  $R$  onto  $R^\omega$  in order to get a "preferred" surjection  $F:R \rightarrow CS(R)$ .

In what sense is this preferred? Consider the following property  $*$  on  $F:R \rightarrow CS(R)$ :

- i)  $F$  is onto;
- ii)  $\{(x,y_1,y_2,\dots): F(x) = F(y_1) \cup F(y_2) \cup \dots\}$  is a Borel measurable subset of  $R^\omega$ .

By way of background, we have the following:

THEOREM 1. Let  $F,G:R \rightarrow CS(R)$  have property  $*$ . Then  $G$  is the result of composing  $F$  with a Borel permutation of  $R$ .

In light of Theorem 1, we fix a preferred  $\varphi:R \rightarrow CS(R)$ .

There are two reasonable ways to define the Borel functions  $F$  from  $CS(R)$  into  $CS(R)$ .

1. There exists Borel  $G:R \rightarrow R$  such that  $F(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(G(x))$ .
2.  $\{(x,y): F(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(y)\}$  is Borel measurable subset of  $R^2$ .

THEOREM 2. Both of these definitions of Borel  $F:CS(R) \rightarrow CS(R)$  are equivalent.

The following basic result indicates the likelihood of a substantial theory of the structure of Borel functions on  $CS(\mathbb{R})$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $F:CS(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow CS(\mathbb{R})$  be Borel. Then there exists  $A$  such that  $F(A) \subseteq A$ .

We proved this around 1977. We actually showed that this can be proved in third order arithmetic but not in second order arithmetic. See [Fr].

We now want to talk about a new theorem of this rough form (Borel diagonalization) which is independent of ZFC.

Let  $X$  be an uncountable complete separable metric space. Then we can discuss Borel functions on  $CS(X)$  in the same manner.

More generally, let  $Y$  be an uncountable Borel measurable subset of  $X$ . We can also consider  $CS(Y)$ . Using any Borel measurable bijection between  $X$  and  $Y$ , we can define the Borel functions on  $CS(Y)$ .

We say that  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$  are finitely equivalent if and only if  $y$  is obtained from  $x$  by a permutation of the indices that leaves all but finitely many indices fixed.

We say that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\infty$  is finitely invariant if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $E(x, y)$  implies  $y \in A$ . We write  $FICS(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  for the space of all nonempty finitely invariant countable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . This is obviously an uncountable Borel subset of  $CS(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ , and therefore we can consider Borel functions on  $FICS(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  in the usual way.

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ . We say that  $x$  is a subsequence of  $y$  if and only if there is a strictly increasing function  $f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that each  $x_i = y_{f(i)}$ .

Here is a warmup exercise.

THEOREM 4. Let  $G:FICS(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \rightarrow FICS(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  be Borel. Then there exists  $A$  such that every element of  $G(A)$  is a subsequence of an element of  $A$ .

Theorem 4 has a proof that is closely related to Theorem 3, and so is provable in third order arithmetic but not in second order arithmetic.

We say that  $A \in \text{FICS}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$  is a chain if and only if for all  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x$  is a subsequence of  $y$  or  $y$  is a subsequence of  $x$ .

THEOREM 5. Let  $G: \text{FICS}(\mathbb{R}^\omega) \rightarrow \text{FICS}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$  be Borel. Then there exists a chain  $A$  such that every element of  $G(A)$  is a subsequence of an element of  $A$ .

It is necessary and sufficient to use infinitely many uncountable cardinals to prove Theorem 5. Theorem 5 cannot be proved in Zermelo set theory, but can be proved in  $\text{ZF} \setminus \text{P} + V(\omega+\omega)$  exists.

Now for the big stuff.

THEOREM 6. Let  $G: \text{FICS}(\mathbb{R}^\omega) \rightarrow \text{FICS}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$  be Borel. Then there exists  $A$  such that all elements of values of  $G$  at subsets of  $A$  are subsequences of elements of  $A$ .

Theorem 6 can be proved from a measurable cardinal, yet not with "every subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a sharp." Presumably,  $\text{ZFC} + \text{Ramsey cardinal}$  should also not suffice.

Again, in light of Theorems 4,5,6, there should be a substantial structure theory for the Borel functions on the space  $\text{FICS}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ .

We are working on getting a clean extension of Theorem 6 that would require many measurable cardinals to prove.

[Fr] On the necessary use of abstract set theory, *Advances in Math.*, Vol. 50, No. 3, September 1981, pp. 209-280.